

#### Frauke Voitle, Nele Kampa, Irene Neumann, Julia Schwanewedel & Kerstin Kremer



#### **Theoretical Background**

#### **Defining Epistemological Beliefs (EBs)**

EBs are the set of individual subjective theories one holds about the nature of knowledge and (the process of) knowing. (Anschütz, 2012; Hofer & Pintrich, 1997)

#### Structure of EBs according to Conley et al. (2004)

## Source

Knowledge is not a privilege of few authorities

# Nature of knowing Justification

Experiments as (one) possible means to create knowledge

#### Certainty

- There's not always an absolute answer;
- Knowledge can be revised

# Nature of knowledge Development

Science is constantly evolving

#### EBs in Science Class

• EBs as precondition for learning science: EBs have multiple influences on students' learning (e.g., use of learning strategies, perceiving and processing information, ...)

(Bromme et al., 2010: Hofer, 2001)

- EBs as achievement goal (Kampa et al., 2016): EBs are part of scientific literacy
- Development of EBs is affected by instruction:
  - EBs are context dependent and develop with experience (Bromme et al., 2010; Muis et al., 2006)
  - Perceived distance, missing relevance of classroom science for professional science or missing experience may lead to separate sets of views or beliefs concerning classroom and professional science.

(Clough, 2006; Hogan, 2000; Sandoval, 2005)

### **Research Design and Procedure**

#### **Research Questions**

- · Do students' EBs related to classroom science differ from their EBs related to professional science?
- · Is the adapted questionnaire for assessing students' EBs related to classroom science verbally appropriate for 8<sup>th</sup> grade students?

#### Sample

N=102 ( $\Upsilon$  = 60.  $\sigma$  = 42) 8<sup>th</sup> graders' from 3 academic-track schools

#### Instrument

Adapted questionnaire with 26 items (5-point likert-scale): 10 likert-scale, 3 open-ended items targeting students' understanding and general feedback.

## **Research Findings and Implications**

#### Students' EBs concerning Classroom Science

Table 1: Research findings for 8th graders' school-specific EBs.

Dimension	Mean	SD
<b>Source</b> (5 items, α=0.84)	3.05	(1.22)
Justification (9 items, $\alpha$ =0.42)	4.11	( .91)
Certainty (6 items, $\alpha$ =0.72)	3.38	(1.13)
<b>Development</b> (6 items, $\alpha$ =0.54)	3.74	( .98)

Reliability for justification, if f25 is omitted:  $\alpha$ =0.59

Two peaks in Fig 1 for items f15 and f19 and a relatively high interitem-correlation ( .65) indicate two differing opinions concerning trust in authorities. This should be assessed in further studies.

Note: In the school-specific context interpreting EBs as naive or sophisticated may differ from the interpretations in the context of professional science.

#### Comparing Students' EBs concerning Classroom and **Professional Science**

Students' EBs differ significantly regarding the context of classroom and professional science, respectively.

Table 2: Research findings for 9th graders' EBs related to professional science by Urhahne & Hopf (2004), N=167.

Dimension	Mean	SD
<b>Source</b> (5 items, α=0.67)	3.94	( .58)
Justification (9 items, a=0.68)	3.96	( .50)
<b>Certainty</b> (6 items, $\alpha$ =0.41)	3.69	( .50)
Development (6 items, α=0.66)	3.22	(.67)

#### Adapting the Questionnaire

The developed questionnaire is based on the one by Conley et al. (2004). Analogous phrases referring to the school context were iteratively developed and then systematically applied.

#### **Exemplary Items**

Certainty (related to classroom science) You always agree about what is true in science class.(-)

Certainty (related to professional science, Conley et al., 2004) Scientists always agree about what is true in science.(-)



Fig. 2: Ranges of students' responses (recoded for source and certainty) for the four dimensions source, justification, certainty and development of students' school-specific EBs.

#### Students' Understanding of the Questionnaire

- 6 items in the dimensions justification (4) and development (2) were perceived difficult by at least 5 % of the students.
- Understanding the items was perceived to be rather easy (f28: 81.4%, f35: 84.3%)
- Stating an opinion was perceived rather difficult by a significant share of students (f27: 18.6%, f29: 13.7%, f32: 28.4%). This seems to be connected with referring to science in an integrated sense.
- Low reliabilities, inter-item-correlations and students' feedback demand for revision of the items referring to development and justification.

#### **Prospect to further Research**

Summer/Autumn 2018:

Quantitative comparison of students' EBs concerning classroom and professional science

Autumn/Winter 2018:

Qualitative assessment of causes for students' differing EBs

Contact: Voitle@ipn.uni-kiel.de

Conley, A. M., Pintrich, P. R., Vekiri, I., & Harrison, D. (2004). Changes in epistemological beliefs in elementary science students. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 29(2), 186–204. Urhafne, D., & Hopf, M. (2004). Epistemologische Überzeugungen in den Naturwissenschaften und ihre Zusammenhänge mit Motivation, Selbstkonzept und Lernsträtegien. Zeitschrift für Didaktik der Naturwissenschaften, 10(1), 71–87.





Frauke Voitle, Nele Kampa, Irene Neumann, Julia Schwanewedel & Kerstin Kremer

## Literature

- Anschütz, A. (2012). Epistemische Überzeugungen von Schülerinnen und Schülern: Entwicklung eines Erfassungsinstrumentes für die Jahrgangsstufen 3 bis 6. Berlin: Logos-Verl.
- Bromme, R., Pieschl, S., & Stahl, E. (2010). Epistemological beliefs are standards for adaptive learning: A functional theory about epistemological beliefs and metacognition. *Metacognition and Learning*, 5(1), 7–26.
- Clough, M. P. (2006). Learners' Responses to the Demands of Conceptual Change: Considerations for Effective Nature of Science Instruction. Science & Education, 15(5), 463–494.
- Hofer, B. K. (2001). Personal Epistemology Research: Implications for Learning and Teaching. Educational Psychology Review, 13(4), 353–383.
- Hofer, B. K., & Pintrich, P. R. (1997). The development of epistemological theories: Beliefs about knowledge and knowing and their relation to learning. *Review of educational research*, 67(1), 88–140.
- Hogan, K. (2000). Exploring a process view of students' knowledge about the nature of science. Science Education, 84(1), 51-70.
- Kampa, N., Neumann, I., Heitmann, P., & Kremer, K. (2016). Epistemological beliefs in science—a person-centered approach to investigate high school students' profiles. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 46, 81–93.
- Meyling, H. (1990). Wissenschaftstheorie im Physikunterricht der gymnasialen Oberstufe: Das wissenschaftstheoretische Schülervorverständnis und der Versuch seiner Veränderung durch explizit wissenschaftstheoretischen Unterricht. Dissertation. Bremen.
- Muis, K. R., Bendixen, L. D., & Haerle, F. C. (2006). Domain-Generality and Domain-Specificity in Personal Epistemology Research: Philosophical and Empirical Reflections in the Development of a Theoretical Framework. Educational Psychology Review, 18(1), 3–54.
- Sandoval, W. A. (2005). Understanding students' practical epistemologies and their influence on learning through inquiry. Science Education, 89(4), 634-656.
- Urhahne, D., & Hopf, M. (2004). Epistemologische Überzeugungen in den Naturwissenschaften und ihre Zusammenhänge mit Motivation, Selbstkonzept und Lernstrategien. Zeitschrift für Didaktik der Naturwissenschaften, 10(1), 71–87.

## Contact information

## Thank you for your attention !

Feel free to contact me: voitle@ipn.uni-kiel.de

 $https://www.ipn.uni-kiel.de/en/the-ipn/departments/biology-education/staff/voitle-frauke?set\_language=en/staff/voitle-frauke?set\_languag$ 



